UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,705	03/09/2004	Scott Meredith	M61.12-0596	2881
	7590 11/27/200 HAMPLIN (MICROSC	7 DFT CORPORATION)	EXAMINER	
SUITE 1400			TAKELE, MESEKER	
) SECOND AVENUE SOUTH NNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3319		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/796,705	MEREDITH, SCOTT Art Unit	Γ		
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Meseker Takele	2174			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>Meseker Takele (examiner)</u> .	(3) <u>Christopher L. Holt</u> .				
(2) Sy Luu (primary examiner).	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>15 November 2007</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	²)∏ applicant's representative	e]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,8,16,17,19 and 20</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: Moore and Richards et	<u>al.</u> .				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the proposed claims 1, 8, 16, 17, 19 and 20 in light of the applied prior art. It was suggested for Applicant to further clarify the claim language of the independent claims. Due to the changed grounds of rejection in the previous office action, the finality will be withdrawn and a new office action will follow. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
	/Sy D Luu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 21 Examiner's signature, if requi				